



FLOSA
OLDER REPORTS
3/25/83

Asbestos Flosal

ASB 000758

- o labelled adequately?
- o ACGIH et al (Asbestos) a carcinogen!

Chrysotile	2.0-95
Amosite	0.5
Crocidolite	0.2

Does adequate & proper labelling preclude a lawsuit?
in view of cigarette industry lawsuit history - packages labelled & 5-11- package not labelled clearly.

Is the reward/risk ratio great enough to continue?

$$\text{No. \#s sold} \times \frac{\text{\$ profit}}{\text{\$}} \times \frac{1}{\text{\$ cost/\#}} \times \frac{\text{lawsuit SFP}^*}{\text{\$ cost/\#}} = \text{No. of lawsuits SFP w/ award to plaintiff}$$

* (settled in favor of plaintiff)

What DMA is spent med after collection? Any data.
data in brochures - says "very little DMA left in the med..."

Air sampling results 1979 - what happened to other results? Some of them were higher.
When was Flosal changed?

What is relationship, if any, between airborne fibers and the Flosal ~~measured~~ ^{measured} in the same year?

CDD 255181

Would not a discussion & perhaps illustration of changes in Flosal & dust concn show improvement? or would set stage for potential suits on basis of earlier concn?

Samples in 1975 were higher had ~~STC~~ ^{STC} values up to 2.1/fcc (39 units)

DSC 15441

Air Samples

- data in 8/23/79 brochure Flosal H₂O date is 1979. data collected in 1975 was higher in STEL short samples STEL air sampling results collected by others trained by us. sampled on persons working on dry rigs, doing typical jobs. seem to represent exposure.
- NO GUARANTEES.

• Flosal introduced 1964	Sampling 1975	11 years
next sampling	1979	

Asbestos
Caused cancer